Why Re-evaluate Corridor?

- Development in Last 30 Years
- Environmental Law

1970 Corridors



Current Activities

- Drive Corridor
- Identify Bypasses
- Identify Constraints
- Adjust corridors due to development
- Establish Advisory Group
- Traffic Studies
- Gather economic data
- Gather environmental data
- Develop GIS database

Public Involvement

- Advisory Council
- Individual meetings
- Public Meeting
- Public Hearing
- Newsletters
- News Releases
- Editorial Board Meetings
- Library Repositories
- Project Mailing List

Timeline

		Winter '03	Spring 'O	3 Sum	mor 'O3	Fall '03	Winter '04	Spring '04
		Winter '03	Spring '0	<u>3 Sull</u>	<u>mer '03</u>	<u>raii US</u>	Winter '04	Spring '04
On-Site Corridor Review		anton Pel						
336 Coalition Meeting Advisory Committee Meeti	ng	Lewistown						
Origin & Destination Field	Work		4	ncoln				
Traffic Volume Studies 336 Coalition Meeting Advisory Committee Meeti	_{int} Bea ding ng		J.	15	Decatur			
Public Information Meeting Open House Format	ield			pfield	Sullivan,			
Draft Corridor Report IDO	Γ Review	,						
336 Coalition Meeting Advisory Committee Meeti	ng						led6	
Public Hearing								
Prefinal Corridor Report							Newton	
IDOT Review Final Report								wienceville

Next Phase of Study At Least 3 ½ Years

- Location Design Report
- Environmental Impact Statement
- IDOT Recommendation to FHWA

THANK YOU

Your involvement in the Peoria to Macomb Corridor Study is crucial to meeting your travel needs with the fewest impacts

IDOT is committed to hearing your concerns.

Illinois Department of Transportation





PROJECT

PEORIA TO MACOMB STUDY - FAP 315 (IL 336)

Section: Various

Peoria, Fulton, McDonough Counties

Catalog No. 032258-00P

PROJECT NUMBERS

IDOT Job No. P94-025-00 URS Job No. 25364560

DATE

February 5, 2003

SUBJECT

Summary of Meeting with 336 Coalition

The following people presented the Peoria to Macomb project to the 336 Coalition Board of Directors:

Eric Therkildsen IDOT Program Development Engineer
Mike McLuckie IDOT Engineering Consultant Liaison

Robert Andrews URS Project Engineer

Mary Hagerty URS Environmental Lead

The members of the 336 Coalition Board of Directors are attached. Those members who attended have a ◆ next to their name. Also attached is a copy of the presentation made to them. The presentation was an overview of the corridor re-evaluation study and current activities.

In addition to the presentation, there were several questions and discussions during the meeting. The main items were:

- 1. The Coalition Board is pleased to see that Phase I is funded and has begun.
- 2. The Corridor Re-evaluation process and schedule was presented to the Coalition Board. The Board stated that they understood the Corridor Re-evaluation effort. They expressed concern over the amount of time the remaining part of Phase I could take. The IDOT/URS team explained some of the work that must be done and the review processes that must be followed in order to secure federal funding. The Board was concerned that the legislative support that they have developed for funding the highway may change after a five-year period and new elections. The Chairman, Mark Johan, asked for a timeline of the Phase I work that follows the Corridor Re-evaluation.
- 3. The Board members stated that they have been telling people that the highway will be an expressway, not a freeway. The study team responded that from past experience, an expressway is likely the best solution, but both freeway and expressway need to be analyzed and assessed.
- 4. The Board pressed the study team for a cost per mile estimate for this highway. The study team stated that costs will be developed as part of Phase I. The study team stated that cost

122 S. Michigan Chicago, IL 60603 312-939-1000 www.urscorp.com

URS

estimates based on cost per mile are of very little use at this stage in a project because many decisions that greatly effect cost have yet to be made. Pressed again, the study team said that the cost could be \$10 to \$15 million per mile. The Board was concerned about their ability to help secure that much funding for the project. Also, later in Phase I when alignments are developed and a preferred alignment is selected, logical construction sections will be developed. These construction sections will be based on manageable sizes based on length and cost, plus logical termini. The study team will develop sections that terminate at locations where there is a natural falloff in traffic volumes so not create a temporary accident problem at the lane-drop location.

5. The Board volunteered to make their website available for any announcements or project related information the Department wishes to disseminate.

Meeting summary prepared by Robert Andrews, Project Engineer, URS Corporation.

URS

336 Coalition Board of Directors

Officers

♦Mark Johann President

Dr. Thomas Hines Vice President

◆Tony Rolando Secretary

◆James C. Fassino Treasurer

Board Members

Rod Ahitow Illinois Department of Corrections

◆Leonard Barnard Fulton County Board

◆Don Heller Canton

◆Dan Kiesewetter Farmington

◆David Byerly Canton

◆Chad Murphy Canton

Ralph Grimm Canton Union School Dist #66

Joe Higgs Bank of Farmington

Dr. Thomas Hines Spoon River College

◆Larrilyn Hoops LaMont's International School of Cosmetology

Mark Johann Wells Fargo Bank Illinois, N.A.

◆Jerry Lack Representative for Congressman Lane Evans

◆Carol Merna Representative for Congressman Ray LaHood

◆Bob Molleck Canton City Council and State Senator George Shadid

Tony Rolando US Cellular

Mike Smith 91st District State Representative

Elaine Stone Fulton County Farm Bureau

◆Don Swartzbaugh Farmers & Merchants State Bank of Bushnell

Paul Vonderhaar Canton Area Chamber of Commerce